



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/518,782	03/03/2000	Kouya Tochikubo	04329.22444	7469
22852	7590 11/15/2005	EXAMINER		
FINNEGAN	, HENDERSON, FAR	BROWN, CHRISTOPHER J		
LLP 901 NEW YO	ORK AVENUE, NW	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTO	ON, DC 20001-4413	2134		
		•	D. M. V. V. ED. 11 (160006	

DATE MAILED: 11/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/518,782	2	TOCHIKUBO ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Christophe	i	2134				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	ation app	ears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress		
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA is ions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply with eply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ILING DA 37 CFR 1.13 nication. Itory period will, by statute,	ATE OF THI 66(a). In no ever rill apply and will cause the applic	S COMMUNICATION It, however, may a reply be time expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cation to become ABANDONET	l. ely filed the mailing date of this o O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 25 Au	.gust 2005.					
<i>,</i> —	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)								
,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims				•			
4) 🖂	Claim(s) 4,5,7-13, 17-24 is/are pendin	ng in the a	application.		•	•		
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
'=								
	Claim(s) 22, 23 is/are objected to.							
•								
Applicati	on Papers							
ا ۵	The specification is objected to by the	Examine	r	•				
· ·				objected to by the F	Examiner.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
•	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
•	-			05 11 0 0 0 440(-)	(4) (6)			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachmen	, ,							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTo	O-948)		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
3) Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P r No(s)/Mail Date			5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		O-152)		

Application/Control Number: 09/518,782 Page 2

Art Unit: 2134

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Although the examiner indicated in the previous action that the application would be in condition for allowance, several new 112 issues were found upon review.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 22, and 23 recites "an decryption key" in line 15, this should be "a decryption key"

Claims 22, and 23 recites "an cryptographic algorithm" in line 18, this should be "a cryptographic algorithm".

Claims 22, and 23 appears to be missing a "used" on the last line of paragraph 4, "decryption key used for decrypting the encrypted cryptographic algorithm.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Art Unit: 2134

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 22, and 23 recites the limitation "cryptographic communication" in line 4. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claims 22, and 23 recites the limitation "encrypted cryptographic algorithm " in line 8.

There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claims 22, and 23 recites the limitation "encrypted encryption/decryption key" in line 8.

There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claims 22, and 23 last paragraph is unclear because it states "encrypting/decrypting communication messages by using the cryptographic algorithm and the encryption decryption key used for cryptographic communication"

The examiner is not certain whether both the algorithm and key are used in "encrypting/decrypting" or the algorithm is used for encrypting and the key is used for communication.

The examiner recommends eliminating the last 4 words "used for cryptographic communication"

Claims 7, 9, 10, 17, and 19 recite the limitation "the information to be transmitted " in the last line. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Art Unit: 2134

Claims 4, and 5 refer to the "key" in claim 22. The examiner believes claims 4 and 5 refer to "decryption key" appropriate correction is required.

Claims 9-13, 20, 21, are all dependent on claim 22. Claim 22 states a "cryptographic communication terminal comprising". Dependent claims 9-13, 20, and 21 all state claims which appear to be broader in scope than claim 22. For example, claim 20 states "a cryptographic communication system comprising not less than two cryptographic communication terminals". A system claim cannot be dependent on a terminal claim.

Claims 17, and 18 state the "storage according to claim 23", but claim 23 is a "computer readable medium. While claim 23 recites various "storage sections" it does not contain "storage". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim 19 appears to be broader than the computer readable medium of claim 23. An apparatus claim, cannot be dependent on a computer readable medium claim.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claims 9, 13 and 20 do not contain a preamble. The dependency is written into the middle of the claim. Appropriate correction is required.

Claims 22, 23 and all dependent claims are rejected.

Art Unit: 2134

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 22, and 23 would overcome the prior art of record if the 112 rejections were overcome.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher J. Brown whose telephone number is (571)272-3833. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Morse can be reached on (571)272-3838. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Christopher J. Brown

11/2/05

YELLE